

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/836,017	04/17/2001	Elisabeth Lacy Belden	P-9312	6994
27581 7	590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.			ODLAND, KATHRYN P	
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/836,017	BELDEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kathryn Odland	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
, — :	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 April 2001</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
	in parto quayro, roco e r r, r					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/836,017 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16, drawn to a system for delivering an electrode assembly, classified in class 606, subclass 129.
 - II. Claims 17-29, drawn to a method of placing an electrode assembly, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
 - III. Claims 30-38, drawn to a method of utilizing a delivery device, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions (II and III) and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the specifics of the method are not required for the apparatus, such as applying a push force to the introducer to transfer the introducer, delivery device and electrode assembly to a point of implantation, etc.
- 3. Inventions III and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Art Unit: 3743

the instant case the different inventions are that Group III is a method for a previously placed lead.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group (II and III) is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant selects any of Groups I, II, or III, further election is required.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Introducer Embodiments:

Species 1: Figure 1

Species 2: Figures 2A-2B

Species 3: Figure 12

Guidewire Embodiments:

Species A: Figures 3A-3B

Species B: Figure 9

Species C: Figure 10

Species D: Figure 11A

Art Unit: 3743

Species E: Figure 11B

Electrode Embodiments:

Species a: Figures 4-5

Guidewire/Introducer combination:

Species aa: Figure 6

Species bb: Figures 7-8

It appears Figures 13-16 are directed to methods and uses.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/836,017

Art Unit: 3743

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn Odland whose telephone number is (703) 306-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,017 Page 6

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KO

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700